IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.2634 of 2005

Mr.P.Sathyanarayanan .... Petitioner

V/s

The State of Maharashtra and Ors...Respondents

Mr.Prosper D'Souza k/b K.O.Devassi Advocate for Petitioner

Mr.M.S.Mhambre A.P.P. for State

CORAM:SMT.V.K.TAHILRMANI,J.

DATED:3rd August 2005

P.C.:-

1. Heard the learned advocate for the Petitioner, and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The Petitioner has prayed for quashing of process issued against him in Criminal Case NO.2096/SS/04 which is pending in the Court of learned Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, 38th Court at Ballard Pier, Bombay. In the said case, process has been issued against the Petitioner under Section 138 read with 141 of Negotiable Instrument Act.

3. For the reasons recorded in my order dated 13th June, 2005 passed in Criminal Writ Petition No. 213 of 2005

and order dated 21st June 2005 passed in Criminal application NO.550 of 2005, the Petitioner has an efficacious remedy of preferring revision against the order of Magistrate issuing process. Hence, in my opinion, it would be appropriate that the Petitioner to prefer revision before the concerned Sessions Court against the order of the Magistrate issuing process.

4. Liberty is granted to the Petitioner to prefer revision before the concerned Sessions Court. The learned Advocate for the Petitioner states that the necessary revision would be preferred within a period of three weeks from toady. In view of this statement, the trial Court shall not proceed with the trial for a period of three weeks from today.

5. On the necessary revision being preferred by the Petitioner before the concerned Sessions Court, the concerned Sessions Court shall dispose of the same on merits after hearing necessary parties.

6. Office to issue an authenticated copy of this order to the parties.

7. Petition is disposed of.

(SMT.V.K.TAHAILRAMANI,J.)